

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/758,184	YAMADA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jordan M. Schwartz	2873	

All Participants:

(1) Jordan M. Schwartz.

(2) Shawn Cage.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

71 and 79

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The changes to claims 71 and 79, as set forth on the examiner's amendment, were discussed and agreed upon to overcome potential 112 rejections and provide additional clarity to these claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)